### State of California AIR RESOURCES BOARD

#### Resolution 81-10

### March 26, 1981

WHEREAS, Section 39602 of the Health and Safety Code designates the Air Resources Board (ARB) as the air pollution control agency for all purposes set forth in federal law and designates the ARB as the state agency responsible for the preparation of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) required by the Clean Air Act;

WHEREAS, the Clean Air Act as amended in 1977 mandates the revision of the SIP for designated nonattainment areas of the state in order to assure the attainment and maintenance of national ambient air quality standards;

WHEREAS, the Mountain Counties Air Basin (MCAB) portion of El Dorado County and the "mid portion" of Placer County were designated nonattainment for ozone under provisions of Section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act;

WHEREAS, the El Dorado and Placer County Air Pollution Control Boards were designated and certified by the ARB as the local lead planning agencies for the preparation of the nonattainment plans for El Dorado and Placer Counties, respectively;

WHEREAS, the El Dorado and Placer County Air Pollution Control Boards held a public hearing on September 8, 1980, and August 26, 1980, respectively after 30 days notice and approved nonattainment plans (NAPs) for the MCAB portion of El Dorado County and the "mid portion" of Placer County, respectively;

WHEREAS, no large urban areas or major stationary sources exist within the MCAB portion of El Dorado County and the mid portion of Placer County;

WHEREAS, the ARB, through an extramural research contract, completed the field work for a study intended to determine the degree to which transport of pollutants from the Sacramento area contribute to the pollutant load in the MCAB portion of El Dorado County and the "mid portion" of Placer County;

WHEREAS, although the final results of the transport study are not yet available there is evidence of pollutant transport to the MCAB portion of El Dorado County and the mid-portion of Placer County;

WHEREAS, the locally adopted plans for the MCAB portion of El Dorado County and the mid-portion of Placer County contain approvable new source review rules and control measures for several categories of sources;

WHEREAS, the NAP for the MCAB portion of El Dorado County does not contain rules for degreasing or cutback asphalt, and has a perchlorethylene dry cleaning rule which has been found to be less effective than reasonably available control technology for this source;

WHEREAS, the NAP for the "mid portion" of Placer County does not contain a perchlorethylene dry cleaning rule and the APCD's degreasing rule has been found to be less effective than reasonably available control technology for this source;

WHEREAS, the results of ARB's transport study will allow a better determination as to whether any of the above additional measures will be necessary to satisfy the requirements of the Clean Air Act;

WHEREAS, the Clean Air Act and implementing regulations promulgated by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) require that revisions to the SIP be adopted after a public hearing for which 30 days notice to the public has been provided;

WHEREAS, a public hearing upon 30 days notice and other administrative proceedings have been held in accordance with the requirements of the Clean Air Act and the provisions of the California Health and Safety Code.

WHEREAS, the California Environmental Quality Act and Board regulations require that an action not be adopted as proposed if significant environmental impacts have been identified and there exist within the jurisdiction of the Board feasible mitigation measures or alternatives which would substantially lessen, mitigate, or avoid such impacts.

- 1. NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board adopts the local plans as conditioned in this resolution.
- 2. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board directs the Executive Officer to evaluate the results of the transport study and determine whether additional control measures will be necessary to satisfy the requirements of the Clean Air Act.
- 3. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that upon making such a determination, the Executive Officer shall communicate that decision to the Placer County and El Dorado County Air Pollution Control Districts.
- 4. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board authorizes the Executive Officer to work with the El Dorado County Air Pollution Control District (APCD) to obtain local adoption of degreasing and cutback asphalt rules, and a more effective perchlorethylene dry cleaning rule if such rules are determined to be needed.
- 5. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board authorizes the Executive Officer to work with the Placer County APCD to obtain local adoption of a perchlorethylene dry cleaning rule and a more effective degreasing rule if such rules are determined to be needed.
- 6. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if the districts do not adopt the above measures within six months of receipt of notification by the Executive Officer that such measures are necessary to meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act, the Board is delegated authority to adopt such measures for the districts (except a cutback asphalt rule for the El Dorado County APCD).

- 7. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that existing and forthcoming stationary source suggested control measures need to be studied further for possible future adoption in these nonattainment areas. The suggested control measures include but are not limited to: auto refinishing, pesticides, roofing tar pots, waste solvent disposal, wood furniture manufacturing, and stage II vapor recovery.
- 8. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that to meet the Clean Air Act requirements for consistency of the SIP and other planning programs, all jurisdictions in the MCAB need to commit to integrate their air quality plans with land use and transportation planning to assure that growth and development do not degrade air quality.
- 9. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board directs the Executive Officer to work in cooperation with appropriate agencies to assure that federally assisted projects and federal permit activities which may result in increases in emissions will not interfere with attainment and maintenance of the NAAQS.
- 10. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that the local NAPs can not project attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for ozone by December 31, 1982, due to the impact of transport from upwind urban areas, and that the Board requests of EPA an extension of the attainment date for ozone beyond December 31, 1982 but to no later than December 31, 1987.
- 11. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Board finds that the staff report, information presented at the March 26, 1981 Board hearing, Chapter 26 of the State Implementation Plan, the environmental impact assessments contained in the Nonattainment Plans and in the suggested control measures adopted by the Board adequately address environmental issues related to these NAP's, and the Board concurs with the staff's finding that no significant adverse environmental effects are likely to result from the Board's approval of these NAP's.
- 12. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board directs the Executive Officer to revise Chapter 9 of the State Implementation Plan for the MCAB to conform with this resolution, and that the Board authorizes the Executive Officer to submit the Chapter to EPA as a revision to the State Implementation Plan.

I certify that the above is a true and correct copy of Resolution 81-10 as passed by the Air Resources Board.

Sally Rump, Board Secretary

# Memorandum

Huey D. Johnson

Secretary.

Resources Agency

Date : April 6, 1981

Filing of Notice of

Decision of the Air

Resources Board

From : Air Resources Board

Pursuant to Title 17, Section 60007(b), and in compliance with Air Resources Board certification under section 21080.5 of the Public Resources Code, the Air Resources Board hereby forwards for posting the attached notice of decision and response to environmental comments raised during the comment period.

> Sally Kump Sally Rump Board Secretary

attachments Resolution 81-1 Resolution 81-5

> RECEIVED BY Office of the Secretary

> > APR 0.6 1981

### State of California AIR RESOURCES BOARD

## Response to Significant Environmental Issues

Item:

Public Hearing to Consider the Adoption, as Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, of Plans for the Attainment and Maintenance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for Ozone in the Mountain Counties Air Basin Portion of El Dorado County and the Mid-Portion of Placer County

Agenda Item: 81-51-2

Public Hearing Date: March 26, 1981

Response Date: March 26, 1981

Issuing Authority: Air Resources Board

Comment:

No comments were received identifying any environmental issues pertaining to this item. The staff report also identified no significant

environmental issues.

Response:

N/A

RECEIVED BY Office of the Secretary

APR OBTOSE