

Form Letter 1 for Comment 36 for Verification Procedures, Warranty and In-Use Compliance (verdev2010) - 45 Day.

First Name: cody

Last Name: bratton

Email Address: codysam@verizon.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Control Emissions from Diesel Engines

Comment:

I do not wish the government or the ARB to pass further regulations on Diesel engines, both on-road and off-road.

It makes no sense that a 1 ton truck used to get 20+ mpg without all the extra emissions controls, now the same truck gets 12-16mpg.

The transition from Low Sulfur Diesel to ULTRA Low Sulfur Diesel to reduce emissions has caused this along with additional emission equipment. Diesel engines are more efficient and produce less emission than a diesel engine in the same truck.

Has there honestly been a 40% reduction in emissions to justify the wanton waste of fuel? I do not want my tax dollars spent monitoring private individuals or businesses. I do not want or see the need for more emissions products to be forced to be installed on/in vehicles for private individuals, businesses or manufacturers by our government. It is hurting our economy.

Do you wish diesel trucks to be bought by everyday users anymore? By placing more emission equipment on diesel trucks it places the increase in cost to buy on the consumer. Would you rather them drive gas hog trucks which get 6-8 MPG? Towing the same load will yield better MPG in a diesel truck, 12-14 MPG. I am no mathematician but $12-14 \text{ MPG} > 6-8 \text{ MPG} = \text{LESS BURNT FUEL}$ therefore = LESS EMISSIONS! Unloaded and using LSD my truck used to get 22 MPG! It now gets 14 MPG, all because of the transfer to ULSD. No equipment was changed.

Do the math, what is your real objective here? The environment or money?

The people of California are sick and tired of the state gouging their pockets coming and going. As you see now, results of high taxes, unnecessary spending, and unnecessary environmental regulation has hit the state hard, your deficit is huge, and your solution is another hit on the citizen.

This is wrong, and WE THE PEOPLE will not stand for it.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-01-26 00:41:54