STATE OF CALIFORNIA ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 2-98)	See SAM Sections 6600 - 6680 for Instructions and Code Citations				
DEPARTMENT NAME	CONTACT PERSON	TELEPHONE NUMBER			
Air Resources Board	Andrew Spencer	(626) 575-6675			
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FOR Amendments to the California regulation Recreational Vehicles and Engines.		NOTICE FILE NUMBER			
	ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT				
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPAC	TS (Include calculations and assumptions in the re	Ilemaking record.)			
1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate wh	nether this regulation:				
\Box a. Impacts businesses and/or employee	e. Impos	es reporting requirements			
b. Impacts small businesses	🗖 f. Impose	es prescriptive instead of performance standards			
\Box c. Impacts jobs or occupations	🗆 g. Impac	ts individuals			
d. Impacts California competitiveness		of the above (Explain below. Complete the Impact Statement as appropriate.)			
h. <i>(cont.)</i>					
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked, cc	omplete this Economic Impact Statement.)				
selling motorcycles and related products and acces	escribe the types of businesses <i>(Include nonprofits)</i> <u>ssories.</u> s impacted that are small businesses: <u>Virtually all d</u>	E The typical type of business would be a "dealership" ealerships would be considered as small businesses.			
Explain: <u>The regulation may stimulate demand</u> for businesses are expected because the amendment	off-highway recreational vehicles (i.e., off-road motion of the second s				
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: X St	tatewide Local or regional (list areas)				
5. Enter the number of jobs created: <u>few</u> or eliminat increase in sales and service may need to employ		s impacted: <u>Dealerships experiencing a significant</u>			
6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California bus	sinesses to compete with other states by making it r	nore costly to produce goods or services here?			
□ _{Yes} ⊠ _{No} If yes, exp	plain briefly:				
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and a	assumptions in the rulemaking record.)				
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that busin additional costs to individuals. Businesses would i justify such expenditures.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	regulation over its lifetime? <u>There would not be any</u> of an increase in business. In this case, profits would			
a. Initial costs for a small business: none	Annual ongoing costs: \$ <u>vary</u>	Years: <u>N/A</u>			
b. Initial costs for a typical business: none	Annual ongoing costs: \$ <u>vary</u>	Years: <u>N/A</u>			
c. Initial costs for an individual: none	Annual ongoing costs: \$ none	Years: <u>N/A</u>			
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur: \underline{N}	o other costs are anticipated.				

2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry: $\underline{N/A.}$

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

- 3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may incur to comply with these requirements. (Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the paperwork must be submitted.): \$ N/A.
- 4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? Ves No If yes, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: \$______ and the number of units: ______
- 5. Are there comparable Federal regulations? \Box Yes \bowtie No Explain the need for State regulation given the existence or absence of Federal regulations: The regulation's air quality benefits have been included in the baseline of the 1994 SIP to meet the Federal Clean Air Act.

Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal differences: <u>The proposed amendments pose no additional</u> costs to businesses and/or individuals than already exist in current regulations.

C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)

- 1. Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: <u>The regulation amendments will benefit impacted dealers and user</u> groups due to externalities, in the form of a lack of California-certified product, by manufacturers. Provisions in the amendments will also provide improved air quality for the citizens of California.
- 2. Are the benefits the result of: 🛛 specific statutory requirements, or 🗖 goals developed by the agency based on broad statutory authority?

Explain: HSC 43013 and 43018 require ARB to regulate and control off-road mobile sources, including off-highway vehicles.

3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? \$ Unable to quantify.

D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)

- 1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain why not: 1) No action taken no relief for impacted groups; 2) Repeal regulations emissions reductions would not be achieved, air quality suffers.
- 2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative considered:

Regulation amendments:	Benefit: unquantifiable	Cost: possible operational costs due to business expansion
Alternative 1:	Benefit: <u>none</u>	Cost: possible loss of revenue for dealers
Alternative 2:	Benefit: unquantifiable	Cost: <u>diminished air quality; loss of federal highway funding or</u> other sanctions.

- 3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and benefits for this regulation or alternatives: <u>Benefits exceed</u> costs in this analysis because the modifications to the existing regulations are designed to provide relief to impacted groups primarily dealers.
- 4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regulation mandates the use of specific technologies or

equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered to lower compliance costs? 🛛 Yes 🛛 No

Explain: In the 1994 off-highway vehicle regulations, there were emissions standards that were adopted. At that time, there was no prescribed technology for achieving these standards. This proposed regulation does not dictate specific technology, either.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

E. MAJOR REGULAT Cal/EPA bo	HONS (Include calculations pards, offices and department	and assumptions in t ts are subject to the fo	he rulemaking record.) Dlowing additional requ	irements per He	alth and Safety	Code section 57005.
1. Will the estimated c	costs of this regulation to Calif	ornia business enterp	rises exceed \$10 millic	n? 🛛 Yes	🛛 No (If N	lo, skip the rest of this section)
-	h equally as effective alternat				•	s performed:
Alternative 2:						
3. For the regulation, a	and each alternative just desc	ribed, enter the estima	ated total cost and over	all cost-effective	ness ratio:	
Regulation:	\$		Cost-effectiveness r	atio:		_
Alternative 1:	\$		Cost-effectiveness r	atio:		_
Alternative 2:	\$		Cost-effectiveness r	atio:		_
		FISCAL IM	PACT STATEN	IENT		
A. FISCAL EFFECT C	ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT		ate boxes 1 through 6 a wo subsequent Fiscal `		ations and assu	mptions of fiscal impact for the
	enditures of approximately \$ rticle XIII B of the California C					
a. is pro	vided in (Item	,Budget Act o	f) or (Cha	pter	Statutes o	f
D b. will be	e requested in the		Governor's Budge	for appropriation	n in Budget Act	of
2. Additional exp	enditures of approximately \$_ rticle XIII B of the California C	in	the current State Fiscal	Year which are	not reimbursabl	e by the State pursuant to
a. implen	ments the Federal mandate co	ontained in				
b. implen	nents the court mandate set for case of	orth by the	VS			court
c. implen electio	nents a mandate of the people n;	e of this State express	sed in their approval of	Proposition No	a	(DATE)
🛛 d. is issu	ed only in response to a spec	ific request from the				
		·		,which is/are	the only local er	ntity(s) affected;
🔲 e. will be	fully financed from the					_authorized by Section
		(- · ·	venue, etc.) of the			Code;
🗌 f. provide	es for savings to each affecte	d unit of local govern	nent which will. at a mi	nimum. offset an	v additional cos	ts to each such unit.
	proximately \$	-		- ,	,	
	costs or savings because this		y technical, non-substa	ntive or clarifying	g changes to cur	rrent law and regulations.
5. No fiscal impa	ct exists because this regulati	on does not affect an	y local entity or prograr	٦.		
6. Other.						
	ON STATE GOVERNMENT year and two subsequent Fis		e boxes 1 through 4 and	d attach calculat	ions and assum	ptions of fiscal impact for

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 2-98)

1. Additional expenditures o	f approximately \$	in the current State Fiscal Year.	It is anticipated that State ag	encies will:			
a. able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.							
b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal year.							
2. Savings of approximately	/\$	_ in the current State Fiscal Year.					
3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.							
4. Other.							
C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDEI	C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)						
 1. Additional expenditures of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year. 2. Savings of approximately \$in the current State Fiscal Year. 							
 3. No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program. 							
□ 4. Other.							
SIGNATURE L			TITLE				
AGENCY SECRETARY ¹			DATE				
APPROVAL/CONCURRENCE	<u></u>						
DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE	2		DATE				

1. The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6600-6680, and understands the impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest ranking official in the organization.

 Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6600-6670 require completion of the Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD. 399. However, Finance must immediately receive a copy of each STD. 399 submitted to OAL without Finance signature, and Finance may subsequently question the "no fiscal impact" finding of a stste agency.