

State of California
AIR RESOURCES BOARD

Resolution 79-30

May 9, 1979

A. WHEREAS, Section 39602 of the Health and Safety Code designated the Air Resources Board (ARB) as the air pollution control agency for all purposes set forth in federal law and as the state agency responsible for the preparation of the State Implementation Plan (SIP) required by the Clean Air Act;

B. WHEREAS, the Clean Air Act as amended in 1977 mandates the revision of the SIP for designated nonattainment areas of the state in order to assure the attainment and maintenance of national ambient air quality standards;

C. WHEREAS, Shasta County was designated nonattainment for oxidant and the secondary standard for particulate matter by the ARB under the provisions of Section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act;

D. WHEREAS, the Shasta County Air Pollution Control Board was designated and certified by the ARB on April 4, 1978 as the local lead planning agency for the preparation of the 1979 nonattainment plan for Shasta County;

E. WHEREAS the Shasta County Air Pollution Control Board held a public hearing on March 26, 1979 and adopted a nonattainment plan for Shasta County;

F. WHEREAS, Shasta County on March 30, 1979 transmitted the "Shasta County Nonattainment Plan" (Shasta Plan) to the ARB for approval as a revision to the SIP;

G. WHEREAS, the Clean Air Act and implementing regulations promulgated by the EPA require that revisions to the SIP be adopted at a public hearing for which 30 days notice to the public has been provided;

H. WHEREAS, a public hearing upon 30 days notice and other administrative proceedings have been held in accordance with the Clean Air Act and the provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act (California Government Code Sections 11371 et seq.);

I. NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board finds because: the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated a change in the 0.08 ppm oxidant standard to a 0.12 ppm ozone standard; there were no violations of the revised ozone standard in 1978, and; EPA requires eight consecutive quarters of violation-free air quality

monitoring data to support an attainment designation for an area, Shasta County is unclassifiable for ozone. The Board directs the Executive Officer to notify the EPA of such change in the designation status of Shasta County;

2. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds because Shasta County recorded one exceedance of the national ozone standard in 1978, is one of the fastest growing counties in the state, and has a rate of growth and development likely to cause locally generated organic gas emissions to increase and result in violations of the ozone standard in the near future if no further control measures are implemented, the Reasonably Available Control Measures (RACMs) in the Shasta Plan should be implemented as part of a maintenance strategy pursuant to Sections 110(a)(2)(B) and (D) of the Clean Air Act;

3. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds Shasta County should adopt as a maintenance strategy by January 1, 1980, rules for Stage I Vapor Recovery, Architectural Coatings, Dry Cleaning, De-greasing, and Cutback Asphalt;

4. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board directs the Executive Officer to review by February 1, 1980 the 1979 air quality monitoring data for Shasta County and determine if Shasta County is to be redesignated attainment or nonattainment for ozone. The Board finds that if Shasta County is found to be nonattainment for ozone, the County is to adopt by July 1, 1980 a plan meeting requirements of Part D of the Clean Air Act;

5. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that if Shasta County is found to be attainment for ozone, the County is to submit by July 1, 1980 a long-term maintenance plan. Furthermore, Shasta County shall submit an annual report to the ARB on progress in maintaining the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). The first annual report shall be due July 1, 1981;

6. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that while Shasta Plan contains a discussion of the potential impact of pollutant transport from sources outside Shasta County and a request for ARB to conduct a comprehensive pollutant transport analysis, the County does not commit to participation in such studies. Therefore, Shasta County should commit to participate actively in a pollutant transport study for the Sacramento Valley;


7. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds the emission inventory for particulate matter in the Shasta Plan differs substantially from the ARB emission inventory and these differences need to be resolved to clarify areas of potential further reduction. Therefore, Shasta County should commit to work with the ARB to resolve current inconsistencies in the emission inventory for particulate matter;

8. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds that particulate emissions from point sources are well controlled at present, and that the air quality analysis for total suspended particulates does not demonstrate progress toward attainment of the secondary standard because of the overwhelming influence of fugitive dust emissions. Therefore, the Board finds Shasta County qualifies under EPA policy for an 18-month extension for submittal of a plan for achieving the secondary standard for particulate matter, and directs the Executive Officer to submit such a request to the EPA. The Board also finds Shasta County should commit to study further the contribution of fugitive dust to violations of the standard;

9. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board finds the Shasta County Air Pollution Control District's (APCD) New Source Review (NSR) rule does not comply with requirements in Section 110(a)(2)(B) and (D) of the Clean Air Act. Shasta County has committed to consider for adoption an NSR rule consistent with an outdated ARB model rule, but needs to adopt an NSR rule as effective as the current revised ARB model NSR rule. The Board commits to include in the Shasta SIP submission an adequate NSR rule and delegates to the Executive Officer the authority to adopt, after hearing, an NSR rule for Shasta County if he determines that the Shasta County APCD has not adopted or will not adopt by June 30, 1979 a rule as effective as the ARB model rule;

10. BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Board directs the Executive Officer to revise the Shasta Plan to conform to this resolution and to submit the appropriate portions to the EPA as a SIP revision.

I certify that the above is a true and correct copy of Resolution 79-30 as passed by the Air Resources Board.


Joan Gilpin, Board Secretary

State of California
AIR RESOURCES BOARD

Response to Significant Environmental Issues

Item: Public Hearing to Consider Adoption of the Shasta County
Nonattainment Plan as a Revision to the State of California
Implementation Plan (SIP) for the Attainment and Maintenance
of National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)

Resolution
Number: 79-30

Public
Hearing Date: May 8, 1979

Response Date: May 9, 1979

Issuing
Authority: Air Resources Board

Comment: None received

Response: N/A

CERTIFIED:


Joan Gilpin
Board Secretary

DATE:

JUN 6 1979

Memorandum

To : Huey E. Johnson
Secretary
Resources Agency

Date : June 14, 1979

Subject: ARB Hearings
Resolutions ~~79-30~~,
79-31, 79-32, 79-33,
79-34, 79-35, 79-36,
79-49, 79-50

From : **Air Resources Board**
Joan Gilpin
Board Secretary

Pursuant to Title 17, Section 60007(b), and in compliance with Air Resources Board certification under Section 21080.5 of the Public Resources Code, the Air Resources Board hereby forwards for posting the attached notices of decision and response to environmental comments raised during the comment period.

Attachments