

State of California
AIR RESOURCES BOARD

Resolution 81-38

May 4, 1981

WHEREAS, on September 12, 1979, the Air Resources Board (the "Board") adopted Resolution 79-68 which amended Rule 210.1 (Standard for Authority to Construct) of the Kern County Air Pollution Control District ("KCAPCD");

WHEREAS, the Board in Resolution 79-68 offered preliminary guidelines as official policy guidance for the application of the KCAPCD's new source review rules to proposed major new sources of emissions; and stated that the Board shall review and revise the guidelines as appropriate;

WHEREAS, the guidelines have now been in effect for over one and one half years;

WHEREAS, experience and application of these guidelines indicates the guidelines can be improved to provide the Kern County Air Pollution Control District and applicants with additional flexibility without adversely affecting air quality;

WHEREAS, Dr. Laurence Caretto, Board Vice Chairman, has met with representatives of the Kern County Air Pollution Control District staff, industry and ARB staff to discuss the guidelines, and has recommended that they be revised, in certain particulars;

WHEREAS, the Board finds that it is appropriate to amend Guideline 6 as recommended by Dr. Caretto to provide for the more effective application of KCAPCD's Rule 210.1;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Air Resources Board hereby amends Guideline 6 to read as follows:

Guideline 6:

- 1) "Offsets of emissions of particulate matter from combustion sources shall be from substantially similar combustion sources.
- 2) If it is infeasible for offsets from like combustion sources to be made, then offsets of particulate matter from other point sources are acceptable.
- 3) Fugitive emissions should only be used for offsetting directly emitted particulate emissions if, in the judgment of the air pollution control officer, no other directly emitted particulates (or inter-pollutant tradeoffs) are available. In cases where fugitive particulate emissions are controlled for use as offsets, the air pollution control officer should consider special measures to assure that these offsets result in an effective net air quality benefit. Among the special measures that the APCO should consider are:

- a) A requirement that a proportion of the tradeoffs be obtained in a fine particle size range, in proportion to the amount of new emissions in a fine particle size range.
- b) That fugitive emissions be offset at a higher ratio (e.g. 2:1).
- c) That the company obtaining offsets commit to experimental programs to develop cost-effective technology for improved control of directly emitted particulates.
- d) Any other considerations that the APCO determines will provide an effective present and/or future control of particulate emissions."

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that it is the Board's intent that the revision to the Guidelines adopted by this Resolution shall apply only to applications, or amended applications, for new and modified sources filed on and after May 4, 1981.

I certify that the above is
a true and correct copy of
Resolution 80-38, as adopted
by the Air Resources Board



Sally Rump, Board Secretary