

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE

This SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE (hereinafter "Agreement") is entered into between the STATE OF CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD (hereinafter "ARB") 1001 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814, and Rush Truck Leasing (hereinafter "RUSH"), 7837 E. Telegraph Road, Pico Rivera, California 90660.

I. RECITALS

- (1) California Health and Safety Code section 44011.6 (HSC § 44011.6) established the Heavy-Duty Vehicle Inspection Program (HDVIP). It authorizes ARB to inspect on-road heavy-duty vehicles for excessive smoke emissions and engine tampering and to issue citations accordingly. The program also requires the vehicle owner to repair its engines that exceed the prescribed ARB smoke opacity standards, perform a post-repair opacity test, and submit proof of repairs and any assessed penalties under the regulations of the HDVIP, chapter 3.5, California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2180-2188 (13 CCR §§ 2180-2188).
- (2) HSC § 43701 provides that ARB shall adopt regulations that require owners or operators of heavy-duty diesel motor vehicles to perform regular inspections of their vehicles for excessive smoke emissions.
- (3) 13 CCR § 2190 *et seq.* were adopted under the authority of HSC § 43701 and, with limited exceptions, which are not applicable here, apply to all heavy-duty diesel powered vehicles with gross vehicle weight ratings greater than 6,000 pounds that operate on the streets or highways within the State of California.
- (4) 13 CCR § 2190 *et seq.* authorize the Periodic Smoke Inspection Program (PSIP) which requires the owners and operators of California based vehicle fleets of two or more heavy-duty diesel motor vehicles with gross vehicle weight ratings greater than 6,000 pounds that operate on the streets or highways within the State of California to conduct annual smoke opacity inspections of their vehicles equipped with engines that are four years old or older.
- (5) 13 CCR § 2192(a) requires inter alia that the owner of the vehicle "[t]est the vehicle for excessive smoke emissions periodically according to the inspection intervals specified in section 2193(a), (b), and (c)", "[m]easure the smoke emissions for each test...", "[r]ecord the smoke test opacity levels and other required test information as specified in section 2194..." and "[k]eep the records specified in section 2194 for two years after the date of inspection."
- (6) HSC § 43016 states, "Any person who violates any provision of this part, or any order, rule, or regulation of the state board adopted pursuant to this part, and for which there is not provided in this part any other specific civil penalty or fine, shall

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE
ARB and RUSH TRUCK LEASING
Page 2 of 7

be subject to a civil penalty of not to exceed five hundred dollars (\$500) per vehicle."

- (7) ARB considers testing, measuring, recording, and recordkeeping to be critical components in reducing excessive smoke emissions from these heavy-duty vehicles.
- (8) ARB contends RUSH failed to test, measure, record, and maintain records of smoke emissions for its fleet of heavy-duty diesel vehicles for years 2015 and 2016 in violation of 13 CCR § 2190 *et seq.*
- (9) In order to resolve these alleged violations, RUSH has taken, or agreed to take, the actions enumerated below under "TERMS AND RELEASE". Further, ARB accepts this Agreement in termination and settlement of this matter.
- (10) In consideration of the foregoing, and of the promises and facts set forth herein, the parties desire to settle and resolve all claims, disputes, and obligations relating to the above-listed violations, and voluntarily agree to resolve this matter by means of this Agreement. Specifically, ARB and RUSH agree as follows:

II. TERMS AND RELEASE

In consideration of ARB not filing a legal action against RUSH for the alleged violations referred to above, and RUSH's payment of the penalties set forth in Section 1 below, ARB and RUSH agree as follows:

- (1) Upon execution of this Agreement, the sum of forty six thousand eight hundred seventy five dollars (\$46,875) shall be paid on behalf of RUSH no later than May 15, 2017 as follows:
 - \$23,438 payable to the Air Pollution Control Fund
 - \$23,437 payable to the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, with the following notation in the memo line of the check: "For the School Bus and Diesel Emission Reduction SEP"

Please send the signed Settlement Agreement and any future mailings or documents required per the terms of this Settlement Agreement to:

Mr. Luke Duval
Air Pollution Specialist
California Air Resources Board
Enforcement Division
P.O. Box 2815
Sacramento, California 95812

Please send the payment along with the attached "Settlement Agreement Payment Transmittal Form" (Attachment A) to:

California Air Resources Board
Accounting Office
P.O. Box 1436
Sacramento, California 95812-1436

- (2) If the Attorney General files a civil action to enforce this settlement agreement, RUSH shall pay all costs of investigating and prosecuting the action, including expert fees, reasonable attorney's costs, and costs.
- (3) It is further agreed that the penalties described in "Terms and Release", paragraph 1 are punitive in nature, rather than compensatory. Furthermore, the penalty is intended to deter and punish RUSH for violations of state environmental statutes, and these penalties are payable to and for the benefit of ARB, a governmental unit. Therefore, it is agreed that these penalties imposed on RUSH by ARB arising from the facts described in recital paragraphs (1) through (10) are non-dischargeable under 11 United States Code § 523 (a)(7), which provides an exception from discharge for any debt to the extent such debt is for a fine, penalty or forfeiture payable to and for benefit of governmental unit, and is not compensation for actual pecuniary loss, other than certain types of tax penalties.
- (4) RUSH shall not violate HSC §§ 43701 *et seq.*, 44011.6 *et seq.*, and 13 CCR §§ 2180 *et seq.*, 2190 *et seq.*, and 2485 *et seq.*
- (5) RUSH shall comply with the following options to attend the CCDET II class (Diesel Exhaust After Treatment and Maintenance), described on the CCDET webpage, ccdet.org. This class is conducted by various California Community Colleges and instructs attendees on California's emission regulations and the proper care and maintenance of diesel exhaust after-treatment systems (DEATS).
 - (a) RUSH shall have the fleet maintenance manager (or equivalent) and all staff responsible for maintenance of DEATS attend the CCDET II class. Proof of CCDET II completion shall be provided to ARB within six months of the date of this Agreement and also be maintained in each applicable employee's file for the term of his or her employment.
 - (b) In case RUSH uses a contractor for the maintenance of DEATS, in addition to having the fleet maintenance manager (or equivalent) attend the CCDET II course, RUSH shall obtain proof that the contractor's staff maintaining the DEATS device(s) completed the CCDET II course within the last four years.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE
ARB and RUSH TRUCK LEASING
Page 4 of 7

This proof of the CCDET II completion shall be provided by RUSH to ARB within six months of the date of this settlement and be maintained with the DEATS installation and maintenance records.

- (c) In case RUSH is unable to find a CCDET II certified contractor within a radius of 25 miles from its yard for the maintenance of DEATS, in addition to having the fleet maintenance manager (or equivalent) attend the CCDET II course, RUSH shall contract only with the authorized verified diesel emission control strategy installer(s) or original equipment manufacturer distributor(s) for the maintenance of DEATS. The proof of the completion of CCDET II for the fleet maintenance manager (or equivalent) shall be provided by RUSH to ARB within six months of the date of this settlement and be maintained with the DEATS installation and maintenance records.
- (6) RUSH shall submit copies of all PSIP compliance records for the years 2017 and 2018 to ARB by January 31 of the following year. Copies shall be addressed to the attention of Mr. Luke Duval at the California Air Resources Board, Enforcement Division, P.O. Box 2815, Sacramento, California 95812. ARB reserves the right to visit any RUSH fleet location at any time to conduct compliance audits for the HDVIP and PSIP, or any other applicable ARB program.
- (7) RUSH shall remain in compliance with the ECL regulation as codified in 13 CCR § 2183.
- (8) RUSH shall instruct all employees who operate diesel-fueled vehicles to comply with the idling regulations set forth in 13 CCR § 2485. RUSH has fulfilled this requirement.
- (9) RUSH shall not violate the Truck and Bus regulation as codified in 13 CCR § 2025.
- (10) RUSH shall not violate TRU ATCM as codified in 13 CCR § 2477.
- (11) This Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon RUSH, and its officers, directors, receivers, trustees, employees, successors and assignees, subsidiary and parent corporations and upon ARB and any successor agency that may have responsibility for and jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Agreement.
- (12) This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between ARB and RUSH concerning the subject matter hereof, and supersedes and replaces all prior negotiations and agreements between ARB and RUSH concerning the subject matter hereof.

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE
ARB and RUSH TRUCK LEASING
Page 5 of 7

- (13) No agreement to modify, amend, extend, supersede, terminate, or discharge this Agreement, or any portion thereof, is valid or enforceable unless it is in writing and signed by all parties to this Agreement.
- (14) Severability. Each provision of this Agreement is severable, and in the event that any provision of this Agreement is held to be invalid or unenforceable, the remainder of this Agreement remains in full force and effect.
- (15) This Agreement shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the State of California, without regard to California's choice-of-law rules.
- (16) This Agreement is deemed to have been drafted equally by the Parties; it will not be interpreted for or against either party on the ground that said party drafted it.
- (17) Senate Bill 1402 (Dutton, Chapter 413, statutes of 2010) requires ARB to provide information on the basis for the penalties it seeks (HSC § 39619.7). This information, which is provided throughout this settlement agreement, is summarized here:

The manner in which the penalty amount was determined, including a per unit or per vehicle penalty.

Penalties must be set at levels sufficient to discourage violations. The penalties in this matter were determined in consideration of all relevant circumstances, including the eight factors specified in HSC §§ 42403 and 43024.

PSIP Violations

The per vehicle penalty for the PSIP violations involved in this case is a maximum of \$500.00 per vehicle per violation per year. The penalty obtained for the PSIP violations involved in this case is \$46,875 for 125 violations involving 110 vehicles, or \$375 per vehicle per violation.

The penalty was discounted based on the fact that this was a first time violation and the violator made diligent efforts to comply and to cooperate with the investigation.

The provision of law the penalty is being assessed under and why that provision is most appropriate for that violation.

PSIP Violations

The penalty provision being applied to the PSIP violations is HSC § 43016 because RUSH failed to test, measure, record, and maintain records of smoke

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE
ARB and RUSH TRUCK LEASING
Page 6 of 7

emissions from its fleet of heavy-duty diesel vehicles for the years 2015 and 2016 in violation of the PSIP regulation in 13 CCR § 2190 *et seq.*, for 110 vehicles. Since the PSIP regulation was adopted pursuant to authority granted in Part 5 of Division 26 of the HSC and since there is no specific penalty or fine provided for PSIP violations in Part 5, HSC § 43016 is the applicable penalty provision.

Is the penalty being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollution at a specified level, and, if so a quantification of excess emissions, if it is practicable to do so.

PSIP Violations

The PSIP provisions cited above do prohibit emissions above a specified opacity or level of g/hp-hr. However, since the hours of operation of the noncompliant units involved and their individual emission rates are not known, it is not practicable to quantify the excess emissions.

- (18) RUSH acknowledges that ARB has complied with Senate Bill 1402 in prosecuting or settling this case. Specifically, ARB has considered all relevant facts, including those listed at HSC § 43024, has explained the manner in which the penalty amount was calculated, has identified the provision of law under which the penalty is being assessed and has considered and determined that this penalty is being assessed under a provision of law that prohibits the emission of pollutants at a specified level.
- (19) Penalties were determined based on the unique circumstances of this matter, considered together with the need to remove any economic benefit from noncompliance, the goal of deterring future violations and obtaining swift compliance, the consideration of past penalties in similar cases, and the potential costs and risk associated with litigating these particular violations. Penalties in future cases might be smaller or larger on a per unit basis.
- (20) The penalty was based on confidential settlement communications between ARB and RUSH that ARB does not retain in the ordinary course of business. The penalty is the product of an arms length negotiation between ARB and RUSH and reflects ARB's assessment of the relative strength of its case against RUSH, the desire to avoid the uncertainty, burden and expense of litigation, obtain swift compliance with the law and remove any unfair advantage that RUSH may have secured from its actions.
- (21) Now therefore, in consideration of the payment on behalf of RUSH to the Air Pollution Control Fund and the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, ARB hereby releases RUSH and their principals, officers, agents, predecessors

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND RELEASE
ARB and RUSH TRUCK LEASING
Page 7 of 7

and successors from any and all claims, ARB may have or have in the future based on the circumstances described in paragraphs (1) through (10) of the Recitals. The undersigned represent that they have the authority to enter into this Agreement.

California Air Resources Board

Signature: 

Print Name: Ellen M. Peter

Title: Chief Counsel

Date: 4/25/2017

RUSH Truck Leasing

Signature: X 

Print Name: SCOTT ANDERSON

Title: VP

Date: 4/6/17