

Form Letter 1 for Comment 1 for Consumer Products (cpwg2006) - 45 Day.

First Name: Tricia

Last Name: Kreis

Email Address: tskreis@ashland.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOC standards

Comment:

Effective automotive maintenance provides very significant benefits to automotive enthusiasts and DIY consumers through improved automotive safety, extending vehicle and auto part life spans, and enhancing the appearance of new and vintage vehicles.

We are concerned that the ARB's proposed 10% VOC standards for Brake Cleaners, Carburetor or Fuel-Injection Air Intake Cleaners, Engine Degreasers, and General Purpose Degreasers could prevent or hinder consumers from effectively cleaning and maintaining our vehicles.

We take pride in maintaining our vehicles and are concerned about negative impact that these standards may have on our ability to find products that work for a given project.

We are also concerned that these new requirements could increase the time and cost that is necessary for us to maintain our vehicles.

Further, we are concerned that the ARB did not consider the impact of these standards on vintage vehicles that still have carburetors and require effective products to ensure that they remain operational in the years to come.

We are also concerned that the products that would be mandated by these standards could damage the sensitive components of vintage vehicles, or leave residues on vital vehicle systems.

Due to these concerns we urge the ARB to reconsider its 10% VOC standards, and finally consider a compromise, to avoid the negative cost and performance consequences that could result for automotive enthusiasts and DIY consumers.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2006-10-27 10:15:21

Form Letter 2 for Comment 1 for Consumer Products (cpwg2006) - 45 Day.

First Name: Patrick
Last Name: Heiner
Email Address: pheiner@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: 10% VOC Standards
Comment:

Dear Sir, or Madam,

Consumers rely on effective automotive maintenance to improve automotive safety, extend vehicle and part life spans, minimize automotive air emissions, and lower energy use.

We are concerned that the ARB's proposed 10% VOC standards for Brake Cleaners, Carburetor or Fuel-Injection Air Intake Cleaners, Engine Degreasers, and General Purpose Degreasers could damage the ability of a consumer from being able to reap the benefits of effective vehicle maintenance.

We are also are concerned that these new standards could have negative cost impacts to consumers due to the additional time required for automotive maintenance to compensate for less effective cleaners.

Further, we are concerned that the ARB has not fully considered the impact of these standards and has not conducted significant long-term testing to ensure that the resulting products will not endanger vehicle safety or consumer preferences.

Therefore, we are asking the ARB to reconsider its 10% VOC standards and compromise in order to protect California consumers from the negative consequences of less effective and more costly automotive maintenance.

Thank you,

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2006-10-27 12:39:48

Form Letter 3 for Comment 1 for Consumer Products (cpwg2006) - 45 Day.

First Name: Francis

Last Name: Dargavage

Email Address: fjdargavage@ashland.com

Affiliation:

Subject: VOC Standards

Comment:

Consumers rely on effective automotive maintenance to improve automotive safety, extend vehicle and part life spans, minimize automotive air emissions, and lower energy use.

We are concerned that the ARB's proposed 10% VOC standards for Brake Cleaners, Carburetor or Fuel-Injection Air Intake Cleaners, Engine Degreasers, and General Purpose Degreasers could damage the ability of a consumer from being able to reap the benefits of effective vehicle maintenance.

We are also are concerned that these new standards could have negative cost impacts to consumers due to the additional time required for automotive maintenance to compensate for less effective cleaners.

Further, we are concerned that the ARB has not fully considered the impact of these standards and has not conducted significant long-term testing to ensure that the resulting products will not endanger vehicle safety or consumer preferences.

Therefore, we are asking the ARB to reconsider its 10% VOC standards and compromise in order to protect California consumers from the negative consequences of less effective and more costly automotive maintenance.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2006-10-30 09:14:51