

**Form Letter 1 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Shane
Last Name: Trimmer
Email Address: sjtrimmer@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Concerns
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:41:28

**Form Letter 2 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tim
Last Name: Warner
Email Address: thewarroom@mac.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:41:33

**Form Letter 3 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Frank
Last Name: Gonsalves
Email Address: frankgonsalves@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Capandtrade
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:42:12

**Form Letter 4 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alice
Last Name: Moriarty
Email Address: alice91367@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Pollution
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

The public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

A. Moriarty

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:42:56

**Form Letter 5 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: julie
Last Name: Amato
Email Address: julie@sayitaudio.com
Affiliation:

Subject: GREENHOUSE GASE POLLUTION/BIG POLLUTERS
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:43:42

**Form Letter 6 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jessica
Last Name: Krakow
Email Address: scolex2643@mypacks.net
Affiliation:

Subject: cap&trade
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:46:20

**Form Letter 7 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Geshlider
Email Address: joopandhow@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:43:37

**Form Letter 8 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Miriam
Last Name: Blatt
Email Address: mblatt@sun.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Don't give away pollution allowances to big polluters
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 17:56:43

**Form Letter 9 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Karim
Last Name: Sahli
Email Address: public@logicalnot.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:01:16

**Form Letter 10 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mathias
Last Name: van Thiel
Email Address: mvthiel@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Assure the goal of AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:03:15

**Form Letter 11 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kathy
Last Name: Popoff
Email Address: kathy_popoff@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap&Trade Comment
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:12:16

**Form Letter 12 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Julie
Last Name: Sanford
Email Address: jksanford@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As we saw with the defeat of Prop 23 in November, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:15:27

**Form Letter 13 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nigel
Last Name: Crawford
Email Address: nigelom@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32-Cap & Trade Allowances
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:16:30

**Form Letter 14 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: David
Last Name: Misch
Email Address: david.misch@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:28:34

**Form Letter 15 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: richard
Last Name: robinson
Email Address: robinsonm2@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: cap and trade proposal
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:28:30

**Form Letter 16 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Garth
Last Name: Casaday
Email Address: garthcas@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Market-Based Compliance Mechanisms

Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:30:42

**Form Letter 17 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joan
Last Name: Ishihara
Email Address: ishirog@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Reconsideration of AB 32 allowance giveaways pollution allowances
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Yours truly,
Mrs. Joan Ishihara

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:28:57

**Form Letter 18 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Judith
Last Name: Anderson
Email Address: jskanderson@earthlink.net
Affiliation:

Subject: disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 18:35:16

**Form Letter 19 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: James
Last Name: Columbia
Email Address: jmcolumbia@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Stop the nonsensical cap & giveaway program
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:26:10

**Form Letter 20 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: chris
Last Name: chouteau
Email Address: chrischouteau@earthlink.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Comment on AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing or severely curtailing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:26:24

**Form Letter 21 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Marlene
Last Name: Waite
Email Address: marwaite1@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Remove Massive Allowance Giveaways
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:37:23

**Form Letter 22 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joan
Last Name: Dyer
Email Address: jjdyer@theunion.net
Affiliation: Calif. League of Conservation Voters

Subject: Please don't let the big polluters off the hook
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:41:44

**Form Letter 23 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Bruce
Last Name: Pettibone
Email Address: bruce_pettibone@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:46:19

**Form Letter 24 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Meigs

Last Name: Matheson

Email Address: mooncats7@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32- greenhouse gases

Comment:

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:49:12

**Form Letter 25 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jamie
Last Name: Cooper
Email Address: j.cooper2@cox.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 19:56:23

**Form Letter 26 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Howard
Last Name: Cohen
Email Address: howard@cohensw.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Get it right on global warming -- No free passes to poison us all
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Howard J Cohen, Ph.D.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:09:11

**Form Letter 27 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: susan
Last Name: rudnicki
Email Address: susanrudnicki@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: cap and trade rules to implementation of AB32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:10:27

**Form Letter 28 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard
Last Name: Horne
Email Address: hornerichard@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:17:22

**Form Letter 29 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John
Last Name: Garofano
Email Address: jgaro@pacbell.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:25:23

**Form Letter 30 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dan
Last Name: Silver
Email Address: dsilverla@me.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Thank you for moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). The public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest emitters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:39:06

**Form Letter 31 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joe
Last Name: Wolcott
Email Address: joe@honeyboy.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 comment
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:42:07

**Form Letter 32 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: PETER
Last Name: JENSEN
Email Address: TWINTREES@COX.NET
Affiliation:

Subject: DON'T ALLOW POLLUTERS A CHANCE TO PROFIT BY POLLUTING
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:16:53

**Form Letter 33 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Rhonda
Last Name: Giannini
Email Address: rhonda.giannini@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

CARB,

By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. Please don't allow this to happen. We will all feel the ill effects from any form of pollution.

Take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 20:47:34

**Form Letter 34 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Denise
Last Name: Marcus
Email Address: marcus5@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & trade
Comment:

Dear Board Members,

Please do not let California's biggest polluters off the hook with the ability to pollute & profit from their pollution. We as a people pay now or later, with our climate & our health & possibly the lives of our children. We need to take a stand & force companies to make the needed changes to do business & not harm the earth.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Sincerely,

Denise Marcus

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 21:07:13

**Form Letter 35 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard

Last Name: Bloom

Email Address: richandbridge@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32)

Comment:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 21:19:02

**Form Letter 36 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: michele
Last Name: cappa
Email Address: michelecappa@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: California cap on Greenhouse gas emissions and compliance regulations
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 21:51:53

**Form Letter 37 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mark
Last Name: Jones
Email Address: marksjones@comcast.net
Affiliation: California Native

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.
Mark Jones

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 22:01:33

**Form Letter 38 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Steven
Last Name: Chasen
Email Address: stevenchasen@msn.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed California Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 22:12:05

**Form Letter 39 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alison
Last Name: Poetsch
Email Address: alisonlp@earthlink.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 implementation
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I'm writing to express my concern about having AB32 the Global Warming Solutions Act implemented by CARB in the spirit in which it was authored, and the spirit in which the public would be expecting.

I understand that one part of the cap and trade plan would give credits to some of the biggest polluters. The would not provide the proper incentives toward reducing pollution overall. In fact, this provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill. Your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 22:09:18

**Form Letter 40 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mary Ellen
Last Name: Hasbrouck
Email Address: mehasbrouck@earthlink.net
Affiliation: none

Subject: AB 32 & cap & trade
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 22:34:33

**Form Letter 41 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kermit
Last Name: Cuff
Email Address: tierno23@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 22:42:16

**Form Letter 42 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Caryn
Last Name: Graves
Email Address: caryn@lmi.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Do not allow the big polluters to profit from their pollution
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 23:07:03

**Form Letter 43 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gary
Last Name: Farber
Email Address: gary-f@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32 CO2 Cap & Trade Proposal
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Given the continuing and overwhelming scientific evidence that human activities -the burning of fossil fuels - is accelerating, I am glad to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing AB 32. The overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November indicates that the public is ready for California to take necessary steps to reduce the pollution that causes climate change.

While many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan are a reasonable beginning, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-09 23:27:48

**Form Letter 44 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Judith
Last Name: Chock
Email Address: jchock@cox.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Say no to Pollution Allowance Giveaways in AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 00:04:23

**Form Letter 45 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Barbara
Last Name: Lynch
Email Address: dancerbarb@phch.org
Affiliation:

Subject: CARB
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Barbara Lynch

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 00:24:31

**Form Letter 46 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mike
Last Name: Gilliam
Email Address: mgilliam11@charter.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Action and AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 06:27:30

**Form Letter 47 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gary
Last Name: Zimmerman
Email Address: Zimmermanz@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am pleased that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). The overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 last November showed us that the California public is ready for the state to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 06:31:47

**Form Letter 48 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Stephen
Last Name: Vollucci
Email Address: svollucci@earthlink.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap and Trade
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:10:10

**Form Letter 49 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Caryn
Last Name: Cowin
Email Address: caryn_cowin@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:28:56

**Form Letter 50 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: andy

Last Name: tomsky

Email Address: atomsky@yahoo.com

Affiliation:

Subject: no free ride for polluters!

Comment:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:32:44

**Form Letter 51 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Ivan
Last Name: Light
Email Address: ihlight@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: global warming solutions
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited and surprised to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public wants government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:38:34

**Form Letter 52 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Constance
Last Name: Spenger
Email Address: cspenger@suddenlink.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Don't trade away our air
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). With the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

Cap and trade is not the answer! By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, the proposal would reward the biggest polluters. This would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:42:17

**Form Letter 53 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: whitney
Last Name: schutt
Email Address: cawhit@saber.net
Affiliation:

Subject: remove the massive allowance giveaways in Ab 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 07:48:56

**Form Letter 54 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Chris
Last Name: Best
Email Address: cbest48@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Pollution give aways-please stop
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Thank You for protecting the enviornment.
While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Chris Best

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 08:20:10

**Form Letter 55 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Susan
Last Name: Cliff
Email Address: shantisimo@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: cap and trade plan AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Thank CARB for moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32).

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. Please remove the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 08:21:59

**Form Letter 56 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Donna
Last Name: Tracy
Email Address: kaplop@earthlink.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 09:15:32

**Form Letter 57 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: LYnda
Last Name: Sayre
Email Address: lyndasayre@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: global warming & AB32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am happy to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 09:38:45

**Form Letter 58 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Janet
Last Name: Kutulas
Email Address: jkutulas@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Dear Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board,

I am very concerned about the cap and trade component of AB 32 which I feel will make it easy for businesses to continue existing polluting practices that will not help to reverse the growing climate change situation. To put it in simple terms, we've polluted the landscape (our planet) to such an acute degree that I feel we are in big fat trouble and cannot afford now to make anymore compromises and concessions to polluters. I concur with the following comments from The California League of Conservation voters:

"I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules."

Thanks for your time,

Janet Kutulas

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 09:38:07

**Form Letter 59 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Sue
Last Name: Vang
Email Address: espgurl@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 comment
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Sue Vang
Sacramento, CA

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 09:55:37

**Form Letter 60 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Joseph
Last Name: Buhowsky
Email Address: jbuhowsky@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Capandtrade 10
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 09:59:57

**Form Letter 61 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Amanda
Last Name: Pyle
Email Address: aepyle@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Pollution allowances
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 10:49:36

**Form Letter 62 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Pam
Last Name: Statz
Email Address: pam@actionpam.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Stop the nonsensical cap & giveaway program
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 11:00:14

**Form Letter 63 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gabriel
Last Name: Schwartz
Email Address: gabriel_schwartz@brown.edu
Affiliation:

Subject: CARB Policy
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 11:52:43

**Form Letter 64 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Deborah
Last Name: Richmond
Email Address: debrichmondca@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: capandtrade10
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am taking time out of a very busy schedule to comment on this measure because my family is experiencing a sharp decline in respiratory health this fall and I can't help wondering if Mayor Villaraigosa's relaxed policies towards our local polluters is having some concrete effect. Both my husband and 4-year-old son have made visits to the ER this fall for first-time, asthma-related illnesses. We have been an otherwise very healthy household until now and very, very worried about staying in Los Angeles at this point. Below, I am copying remarks from a local advocacy group who called my attention to this matter. I agree wholeheartedly with these comments but add that my main concern is public health.

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Debbie Richmond

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 11:55:34

**Form Letter 65 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Sheri
Last Name: Kuticka
Email Address: kyti1653@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: pollution
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am glad to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 12:38:50

**Form Letter 66 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nancy
Last Name: Morimoto
Email Address: nmorimoto@pacbell.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Reward only decreasing pollution
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that CARB is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for government to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned and disappointed by the details of the cap and trade component. By giving away the vast majority of pollution allowances, your proposal would financially reward the biggest polluters. This provides a clear disincentive for polluting businesses to reduce their greenhouse gas emissions, and therefore, would weaken the impact of California's landmark climate bill.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:24:05

**Form Letter 67 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Kathryn

Last Name: Cerra

Email Address: kcerra@earthlink.net

Affiliation: American Society of Landscape Architects

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32) Implementation

Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am glad the California Air Resources Board (CARB) is moving forward to implement the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32).

As the defeat of Prop 23 in November showed us, Californians are ready to reduce our contributions to climate change. In fact, doing so will be our great strength in the coming difficult times. I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan. However, the free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design are excessive. I urge you to reduce and create a phase out plan of free allocations to the industrial sector. The proposed levels are higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several studies show that industrial sector claims are exaggerated.

Subsidizing these industries through free allowances slows the important transition to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in a clearly described management process to reduce free allocations based on specific evaluation measurements.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:24:53

**Form Letter 68 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Paul
Last Name: Fritz
Email Address: pcfritz2000@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 Scoping Plan
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am happy to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). California is once again leading the country on environmental issues and the rest of the nation will be watching us as we implement this landmark law.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:32:00

**Form Letter 69 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Mr and Mrs James
Last Name: Denison
Email Address: LAnnD4animals@charter.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:35:38

**Form Letter 70 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Birgitte
Last Name: Moyer
Email Address: bpmoyer@earthlink.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Please reduce industrial pollution credits when implementing AB 32
Comment:

Dear Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am writing on behalf of both myself and my deceased husband, Carl Moyer, who, as you remember, worked tirelessly for many years for clean air in California.

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you so much and happy holidays,
Birgitte

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:35:05

**Form Letter 71 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Arlene
Last Name: Zimmer
Email Address: crea_tech@earthlink.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Regulation to cap Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

In regard to AB 32 Scoping Plan/Cap & Trade Design seems to allow too many free pollution credits to the industrial sector. Please consider revision to level of free allocation to the industrial sector. Studies have found that subsidizing these industries impedes obtaining a cleaner economy. An effective process for allocation to the industrial sector should be based on specified evaluation metrics.

The favorable public climate that California currently enjoys will continue and expand with AB 32. It requires bold actions and removal of the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 13:49:17

**Form Letter 72 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: laurel
Last Name: lee
Email Address: hippodameia_2000@yahoo.com
Affiliation: CLCV

Subject: No CAP and GIVE AWAYS
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Laurel Lee
2435 Grant Street
Berkeley, CA 94703

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 14:20:02

**Form Letter 73 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Edwin

Last Name: Aiken

Email Address: eandjaiken@sbcglobal.net

Affiliation:

Subject: Comment to capandtrade10

Comment:

* Thank you for taking global warming seriously and developing a plan to reduce global warming pollution while at the same time creating jobs and making California a healthier and more prosperous place to live. The proposed program will decrease global warming emissions from the state's largest polluters and establish a market price on these emissions. This program is one of many policies CARB is using to reach the state's goal of reducing global warming emissions to 1990 levels by 2020, along with renewable energy, energy efficiency, and cleaner transportation standards.

* Parts of the proposal are strong, such as setting a limit that declines each year, and setting a minimum price on carbon pollution. This steady price signal will help businesses make long-term investments in strategies to reduce global warming emissions.

* I recommend that the program be strengthened by requiring more of the state's largest polluters to pay for their global warming emissions instead of giving permits away for free. By forcing polluters to pay for the emissions they generate by purchasing permits in an auction, we can then re-invest the auction funds into efforts that will help us transition to a cleaner economy and help lower energy costs for Californians—such as making homes and businesses more energy efficient, increasing public transit options, and developing wind and solar projects in California.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 14:20:30

**Form Letter 74 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alan
Last Name: Lindsley
Email Address: alan@lindsleylighting.com
Affiliation: LEED Certified Architect

Subject: California's Global Warming Program
Comment:

Thanks for being proactive and recognizing that climate change is serious business. Your basic program is strong but would benefit from requiring more of the state's largest polluters to pay for their global warming emissions instead of giving permits away for free.

Please act NOW to make this happen!

Thanks for listening.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 14:25:04

**Form Letter 75 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John
Last Name: Holtzclaw
Email Address: john.holtzclaw@sierraclub.org
Affiliation:

Subject: implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32)
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 15:50:52

**Form Letter 76 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Alan
Last Name: Schlenger
Email Address: alan@ucsc.edu
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed Cap on GHG Emissions
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:
I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change. While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics. Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.
Alan Schlenger

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 17:39:45

**Form Letter 77 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: James
Last Name: Smelker
Email Address: smelker@astound.net
Affiliation:

Subject: No Free Ride For Polluters
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 20:47:58

**Form Letter 78 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Anastasia
Last Name: Nicole
Email Address: sustaina@sonic.net
Affiliation:

Subject: "Cap and Trade" policies to implement AB32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. "Cap and Trade" is simply not a viable way to implement the measures necessary to meet AB32 goals.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Anastasia Nicole

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 21:31:16

**Form Letter 79 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: William
Last Name: Holt
Email Address: merrill@bluerug.org
Affiliation:

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32)
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 21:52:18

**Form Letter 80 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Robert
Last Name: Pann
Email Address: bobpann@earthlink.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32 - NO on Cap & Trade
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

Although I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am greatly concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design.

I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage.

Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-10 23:12:28

**Form Letter 81 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Lynne
Last Name: Nittler
Email Address: lnittler@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation: secondary teacher

Subject: comment on implementing AB32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 00:19:37

**Form Letter 82 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Richard
Last Name: McAdam
Email Address: RichardCM@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: comments on implementing AB32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 00:24:23

**Form Letter 83 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: A.
Last Name: Norris
Email Address: Amezzo@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 00:37:48

**Form Letter 84 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dan
Last Name: Dixon
Email Address: DanDixon@pacbell.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Proposed Cap on Greenhouse Gas Emissions
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 08:04:51

**Form Letter 85 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tom
Last Name: Hazelleaf
Email Address: cheapcruiser2003@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am glad that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is advancing toward implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32).

I am, however, concerned about the number of free pollution credits for the industrial sector in the Cap and Trade Design. Please phase out the free allocation of pollution credits to the industrial sector. Studies have shown that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated. Over allocation of free credits will slow our progress to a cleaner environment.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 10:54:28

**Form Letter 86 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nat
Last Name: Childs
Email Address: nat@asis.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap on Greenhouse Emissions
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 12:15:01

**Form Letter 87 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Regina
Last Name: DeFalco Lippert
Email Address: italiagina21@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Implementing AB32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 12:47:47

**Form Letter 88 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Carol
Last Name: Teutsch
Email Address: cbteutsch@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: GLOBAL WARMING SOLUTIONS ACT AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I heartily support the California Air Resources Board (CARB) efforts to move forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). With overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public wants California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. I AM PARTICULARLY INTERESTED IN BEING SURE THAT NEW CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS SUCH AS AT THE PORT AND ANY MAJOR ROAD CONSTRUCTION AND TUNNELS ADHERE TO THE HIGHEST STANDARDS. THESE ARE OFTEN MASSIVE PROJECTS EXTENDING OVER YEARS WITH HUGE IMPACT. ALSO IF PROJECTS CONTRIBUTE TO INCREASED TRAFFIC, THAT SHOULD BE ACCOUNTED FOR (INDUCED CONGESTION). CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 16:17:35

**Form Letter 89 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Pamela
Last Name: Kelly
Email Address: pkellycom@aol.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Greenhouse Gas Emissions & Compliance
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-11 16:47:06

**Form Letter 90 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jennifer
Last Name: Biswas
Email Address: jennifer@netcaffeine.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 08:25:46

**Form Letter 91 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jeff
Last Name: Parker
Email Address: jeffparker@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: CARB: phase out free pollution allocations to the industrial sector
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 09:32:56

**Form Letter 92 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jennifer
Last Name: DiJoseph
Email Address: jtdijoseph@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 14:57:58

**Form Letter 93 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Judy

Last Name: Moores

Email Address: jemoores@aol.com

Affiliation:

Subject: CA Cap and Trade on GHG Emissions - please revise and phase out free allocation

Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Your work as part of the California Air Resources Board (CARB) implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32) is critical to the health of our state, its people, and its plants and animals.

Now is the time for bold action.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am very concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics. With the resounding defeat of Prob 23, you have a mandate to take the much-needed and critical actions and remove the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 15:46:05

**Form Letter 94 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Gerald
Last Name: Glendenning
Email Address: jerryglen@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 17:17:57

**Form Letter 95 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Nancy
Last Name: Painter
Email Address: npainter@astound.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32)
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Nancy Painter

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 18:41:52

**Form Letter 96 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Andrew
Last Name: Altamirano
Email Address: andrew_altamirano@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Pollution CREDITS ?!
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-12 18:50:12

**Form Letter 97 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jean
Last Name: King
Email Address: whjaking@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Global Warming AB32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

Thank you for moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32).

I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. Please revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 08:24:18

**Form Letter 98 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: John
Last Name: Glina
Email Address: jcglina@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32)
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 10:08:57

**Form Letter 99 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Paula
Last Name: Cabot
Email Address: pcabot@mac.com
Affiliation:

Subject: No credits for industrial sector in AB32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 10:38:01

**Form Letter 100 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: celia
Last Name: pool
Email Address: celia.pool@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: No to polluters
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 10:56:53

**Form Letter 101 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Tara
Last Name: Schubert
Email Address: taraschubert@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Implementation of AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 12:25:42

**Form Letter 102 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Ken
Last Name: Novak
Email Address: krnovak@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am glad to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32).

I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, lower, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation is much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate and implement AB 32 with bold actions, and remove the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 15:14:35

**Form Letter 103 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Eileen
Last Name: Bergan
Email Address: leener389@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 15:28:30

**Form Letter 104 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Jack
Last Name: Lindblad
Email Address: jplindblad@gmail.com
Affiliation:

**Subject: CARB is hereby URGED TO PHASE OUT FREE POLLUTION ALLOCATIONS TO
THE INDUSTRIAL SECTOR**

Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.

Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-13 22:14:22

**Form Letter 105 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Charles
Last Name: Rose
Email Address: charlesrose007@sbcglobal.net
Affiliation:

Subject: AB 32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 09:34:50

**Form Letter 106 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: d
Last Name: brody
Email Address: davidbrody25@comcast.net
Affiliation:

Subject: Cap & Trade
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 13:11:35

**Form Letter 107 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Diana
Last Name: Crane
Email Address: dbcrane47@yahoo.com
Affiliation:

Subject: phase out free pollution credits!
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 13:27:40

**Form Letter 108 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Errol
Last Name: Davis
Email Address: errol_davis@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: too many free credits for polluting industries
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change!

I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, but I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 22:39:11

**Form Letter 109 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Emily
Last Name: Peinert
Email Address: emily.a.peinert@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: Please don't give my air to polluters for free
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the amount free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit and revise your allocation method. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy.

Looking to the EU for an example, their plan allocated too many permits for free, and this has been a major obstacle to their success.

Giving out permits for free means that the cost and harm of pollution remains on the citizens and not on the industries that are profiting while polluting.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 22:37:49

**Form Letter 110 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Dawn
Last Name: Lyon
Email Address: dawnlyon@hotmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: AB32
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules. Thank you.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-14 22:51:10

**Form Letter 111 for Comment 231 for California Cap-and-Trade Program
(capandtrade10) - 45 Day.**

First Name: Amir
Last Name: Osterweil
Email Address: aoster48@gmail.com
Affiliation:

Subject: CARB Cap and Trade Policy
Comment:

Chairman Nichols and Members of the Board:

I am excited to see that the California Air Resources Board (CARB), is moving forward on implementing the Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32). As the overwhelming defeat of Prop 23 this last November showed us, the public is ready for California to take the necessary steps to reduce our contributions to climate change.

While I agree with many of the steps outlined in the AB 32 Scoping Plan, I am concerned by the over-allowance of free pollution credits to the industrial sector in the Cap & Trade Design. I urge you to revisit, revise, and phase out free allocation to the industrial sector. The proposed level of free allocation to the industrial sector is likely much higher than is needed to combat leakage. Several research studies have found that the "leakage" claims of the industrial sector are exaggerated and subsidizing these industries through free allowances creates barriers to transitioning to a cleaner economy. CARB should build in an explicit adaptive management process to adjust the free allocation to the industrial sector based on specified evaluation metrics.

Please take advantage of the favorable public climate that California currently enjoys around addressing climate change and implementing AB 32 by taking much-needed bold actions and removing the massive allowance giveaways outlined in the current rules.
Thank you.
Amir Osterweil

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2010-12-15 08:35:07