# Form Letter 1 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Christina Last Name: Medina Email Address: christina@ceh.org Affiliation:

Subject: No more cap and trade! Comment:

• Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

• Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 11:39:59

#### Form Letter 2 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Heather Last Name: Clapp Email Address: clappheather@gmail.com Affiliation: Piedmont Connect

Subject: Alternatives to Cap and Trade in AB 32 Comment:

Dear Board Members,

I urge you to eliminate the Cap and Trade approach when taking a closer look at the way the AB 32 Bill is structured. A stronger plan would include the following approaches:

1) Implement a Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We, the People, need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

2) Regulate Specific Pollution Sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. It is critical to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Thank you for taking the time to consider these two thoughtful, economical viable approaches for the State of California. Please help us take the lead and model this so others can quickly catch on. Time is of the essence and we can not led by Big Oil Companies and the American Chemical Council any longer. The future of our children is what counts.

Best, Heather Clapp Piedmont, CA.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 11:43:10

# Form Letter 3 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Raleigh Last Name: Latham Email Address: raleighlatham@comcast.net Affiliation: Local Clean Energy Alliance

Subject: Embrace Carbon Tax instead of Cap and Trade Comment:

A Carbon Tax is a much better way to reduce Carbon than Cap and Trade, because it is not as easily manipulated by the interests of utility and gas companies.

A stronger plan would combine two of the approaches identified by CARB:

Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

**Original File Name:** 

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 12:05:19

# Form Letter 4 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Eric Last Name: Drake Email Address: mericdrake@yahoo.com Affiliation:

Subject: No Cap and Trade Comment:

This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 13:20:58

## Form Letter 5 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Craig Last Name: Collins Email Address: ccollins@igc.org Affiliation:

Subject: cutting carbon Comment:

I would like the California Air Resources Board to adopt the following two carbon reduction policies:

• Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

• Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 18:17:22

#### Form Letter 6 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Linda Last Name: Currie Email Address: lindamurphydog@aol.com Affiliation:

#### Subject: AB 32 Implementation Plan Comment:

I am writing to voice my support for implementing AB 32 via a carbon tax and regulating specific pollution sources. Let's make California a leader in successfully curbing greenhouse gases in an efficient and effective way.

Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Sincerely, Linda Currie 1359 Tomlee Drive Berkeley, CA 94702

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 22:16:57

# Form Letter 7 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: michael Last Name: katz Email Address: 1michaelkatz1@gmail.com Affiliation:

Subject: Implement these alternatives to cap and trade Comment:

A stronger plan than cap and trade would combine:

Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-26 23:03:17

# Form Letter 8 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: R. Last Name: Grimm Email Address: repubsoutn09@gmail.com Affiliation:

Subject: Recommended Alternatives to Cap and Trade Comment:

A stronger plan would combine two of the approaches identified by CARB:

• Carbon Tax. This is a much more clearer approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, social services and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does. I think it's only fair that humongous corporations like Chevron (among others) pay their fair share !

• Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's an excellent idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure that the environment gets cleaned up for the communities that live around them.

Thank you.

Attachment:

**Original File Name:** 

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-27 20:22:29

# Form Letter 9 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Michael Last Name: Sasson Email Address: simcha3@msn.com Affiliation:

Subject: A Carbon Tax and Enforcement of Regulations re Pollution Sources Comment:

Many thanks for asking for input.

A stronger plan than Cap and Trade (which has been a colossal failure in Europe where it has been tried unless you count massive new financial markets without lessening of carbon emissions a success) would combine two of the approaches identified by CARB:

Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Attachment:

**Original File Name:** 

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-28 09:13:22

# Form Letter 10 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Rose Penelope Last Name: Yee Email Address: rose@greenretirementplans.com Affiliation: Green Retirement Plans, Inc.

Subject: No to a Cap-and-Trade Program for California Comment:

Please do not consider a carbon cap-and-trade program for California.

Please consider these two options instead:

Carbon Tax - This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

Regulate specific pollution sources - A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Thank you for your efforts in helping California reduce its carbon emissions in the most effective and sustainable manner possible.

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-28 11:53:29

#### Form Letter 11 for Comment 31 for Supplement to FED -AB-32 Scoping with CEQA (ceqa-sp11) - Non-Reg.

First Name: Aaron Last Name: Lehmer Email Address: aaron@baylocalize.org Affiliation: Bay Localize

Subject: Implement Better Alternatives to Cap and Trade Comment:

Dear California Air Resources Board:

As a long-time resident of California, I am deeply grateful for your efforts to forward climate solutions, especially given the potentially grave consequences of inaction on climate change.

However, I am deeply concerned about efforts to move forward on a cap-and-trade program. The European Union's first cap-and-trade system actually produced windfall profits for polluters, and failed to seriously reduce emissions!

We can, and must do better to tackle the challenge at hand. A stronger plan would combine two key approaches that you and your colleagues have already identified:

\* Carbon Tax. This is a much more transparent approach to pricing carbon. Also, the revenues go to the state, which can use it to close the budget gap, re-fund our public transportation systems, schools, and social services, and invest in green energy. We the People need that money more than Chevron does - make polluters pay!

\* Regulate specific pollution sources. A carbon tax makes it more expensive to pollute, but does not always guarantee less pollution. That's why it's a good idea to combine this policy with strict enforcement of clean air laws with the biggest polluters, such as oil refineries, making sure to clean up the environment for the communities that live around them.

Again, I appreciate your efforts to forward solutions to the climate crisis. Let's just be sure to do so in ways that truly require polluters to pay their share and to encourage the transition to a clean energy economy.

Sincerely,

Aaron Lehmer Oakland

#### Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2011-07-28 16:03:36