There are no comments posted to Informational update on CARB's Academic Memorandums with educational institutions (2022mourenewals) at this time.

## Comment 1 for Informational update on CARB's Academic Memorandums with educational institutions (2022mourenewals). (At Hearing)

First Name: Mark Last Name: D'Andrea

Email Address: mdandrea825@gmail.com Affiliation: Solana Beach EcoRotary Club

Subject: Comments on Draft Scoping Plan May 2022

Comment:

```
<span</pre>
style="font-family: 'Times New Roman', serif;" > Addressed to: Chair
Randolph and Members of the Board</span>
0in 10.0pt 0in;">Subject: <span style="font-size: 11pt;">Comments
on Scoping Plan – Proposed Scenario Plan or Alternative 3 is
Not Acceptable with Respect to Plans Detailed for CCUS</span>
0in 10.0pt 0in;"><span style="font-size: 11pt;">There are numerous
deficiencies one can identify in the Proposed Scenario Plan not the
least of which is that it ranks third in its effectiveness and
speed at climate mitigation.   However, I have chosen to focus
my comments on those aspects of the plan surrounding carbon
capture.</span>
<span</pre>
style="font-family: 'Times New Roman', serif; color: #222222;">I
</span><span style="font-family: 'Times New Roman', serif;">urge
CARB to pursue a more ambitious alternative that reaches carbon
neutrality by 2035 with minimal to no reliance on carbon capture
and sequestration (CCUS), most particularly no CCUS on fossil
fuel or bioenergy infrastructure.   All four Alternatives in
the Scoping Plan including the Proposed Scenario Plan, propose
building new fossil fuel infrastructure.   Rather than building
new fossil fuel infrastructure, the Proposed Scenario Plan should
pursue additional renewable energy and storage technologies, such
as the plans proposed for the Natural and Working Lands portion of
the Proposed Scenario.   The case is made in various sections of
the plan that the cost of adding renewable power sources and
accompanying infrastructure is less costly than building new fossil
fuel plants and infrastructure. Therefore, f</span><span
style="font-family: 'Times New Roman', serif;">rom strictly a
financial POV, would not the funds expended for unproven
technologies fostered by fossil fuel companies be best spent on
Mother Nature and her great expertise and experience at
sequestering CO2?  As the various alternatives presented in
the Scoping Plan makes clear this could be accomplished through
direct support of Natural and Working Lands projects.</span>
white; "><span style="font-size: 11pt;">The funding and support
proposed for CCUS in the Proposed Scenario could be redirected to
establish or expand financial mechanisms that support ongoing
deployment of healthy soils practices and organic agriculture
(e.g., regenerative farming).   In words lifted from the Draft
Plan " … Natural and Working Lands — to ensure that
they play as robust a role as possible in incorporating and storing
```

```
more carbon in the trees, plants, soil, and wetlands that cover 90
percent of the state's 105 million acres."</span>
white; ">  
<span</pre>
style="font-family: 'Times New Roman', serif;">It is appreciated
that the Proposed Scenario does recognize past reductions in the
role of offsets in the program was in recognition of ongoing
concerns raised by environmental justice advocates regarding the
ability of companies to use offsets for compliance instead of
investing in actions on site to reduce GHG emissions.   It is a
given that the fossil fuel companies will continue to
' greenwash' all efforts to reduce their responsibility
for GHG emissions and the climate catastrophe humanity and all life
on this planet are facing. Oil and gas companies use deception to
avoid/dodge their climate responsibilities. We must reject their
efforts.</span>
white; "><span style="font-size: 11pt;">On somewhat of a side note,
I would like to take issue with the draft document in general. It
was made abundantly clear in the Executive Summary of the Draft
2022 Scoping Plan that " This is a plan that aims to shatter
the carbon status quo and take action to achieve a vision of
California with a cleaner, more sustainable environment and
thriving economy for our children. When final, this ambitious
plan will serve as a model for other partners around the
world (my emphasis added) as they consider how to make their
transition."  This point is made all that more poignant
because " … CA is not only the fifth largest economy on
the planet, but ultimately could be one of the most
energy-efficient economies, with a track record of demonstrating
the ability to decouple economic growth from carbon
pollution."  However, what is a bit disturbing is that
the Executive Summary does not appear to emphasize CCUS role but in
the body of the document the reader comes away with a quite
different version.   It cannot be overemphasized that the
chosen plan scenario must pursue direct emissions reductions and
not rely on or fund carbon capture.
white; "><span style="font-size: 11pt;">&nbsp;</span>
white; "><span style="font-size: 11pt;">There will always be some
exceptions, of course, but only the most extreme cases should be
considered (e.g., cement manufacture where it is not currently
feasible to achieve the high temperatures strictly by renewable
energy sources).</span>
normal;"><span style="font-family: 'Times New
Roman',serif;"> </span>
normal;"><span style="font-family: 'Times New
Roman', serif; ">Finally, please correct in the Executive Summary and
elsewhere in the document the definition of " green
hydrogen".  A footnote indicated that "For the
purposes of the Draft 2022 Scoping Plan, " green
hydrogen" is not limited to only electrolytic hydrogen
produced from renewables". This is incorrect-it is widely
accepted that green hydrogen is defined as hydrogen produced using
only renewable energy sources.</span>
normal;"><span style="font-family: 'Times New
Roman',serif;"> </span>
```

```
normal; "><span style="font-family: 'Times New
Roman',serif;">Sincerely,</span>
normal;"><span style="font-family: 'Times New
Roman',serif;"> </span>
normal;"><span style="font-family: 'Times New Roman',serif;">Mark
D' Andrea, Concerned Citizen 
normal;"><span style="font-family: 'Times New Roman', serif;">7772
Rocio Street</span>
normal; "><span style="font-family: 'Times New
Roman',serif;">Carlsbad, CA 92009</span>
normal; "><span style="font-family: 'Times New Roman', serif; ">Email:
</span><a href="mailto:mdandrea825@gmail.com"><span</pre>
style="font-family: 'Times New
Roman',serif;">mdandrea825@gmail.com</span></a>
<span style="font-size: 11.0pt; line-height: 107%; font-family:</p>
'Times New Roman', serif; mso-fareast-font-family: Calibri;
mso-fareast-theme-font: minor-latin; mso-ansi-language: EN-US;
mso-fareast-language: EN-US; mso-bidi-language: AR-SA; ">Cell:
760-4</span>
```

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2022-05-20 08:47:59

No Duplicates.

## Comment 2 for Informational update on CARB's Academic Memorandums with educational institutions (2022mourenewals). (At Hearing)

First Name: Carol Last Name: Kravetz

Email Address: Ckravetz@pacbell.net

Affiliation: Citizens Climate Lobby, Climate Reality

Subject: More bold action required

Comment:

The current plan for reducing emissions by 2045 is not bold enough nor adequate to meet the goals of Paris 2015 and scientists say we only have 8 years left to save lives.
We MUST achieve lower emissions by 2030, latest 2035 in order to save CA from the worst extinction. Plus we must lead by sample for the rest of the country. There are ways to do this, which will mitigate fossil fuel emissions,
Here's step one. Put solar panels on the water canals. That'll save water evaporation, less maintenance, and provide clean energy. Triple impact and money saving.
Europe is stepping up production to transition to clean energy NOW due to the war. We MUST do it to save humanity.
CARB MUST take bolder action. Step out of your square boxes and get to work on making it happen sooner than 2045.
UNACCEPTABLE!!

Attachment:

Original File Name:

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2022-05-20 08:30:09

No Duplicates.

## Comment 3 for Informational update on CARB's Academic Memorandums with educational institutions (2022mourenewals). (At Hearing)

First Name: Mark Last Name: D'Andrea

Email Address: mdandrea825@gmail.com

Affiliation: KLM Consulting

Subject: Comments on Draft Scoping Plan May 2022

Comment:

Attachment: www.arb.ca.gov/lists/com-attach/3-2022 mourenewals-VDdQOVwwWWcKaQFv.pdf

Original File Name: comments on 2022 Scoping Plan draft update.pdf

Date and Time Comment Was Submitted: 2022-05-20 09:40:10

No Duplicates.