

State of California  
AIR RESOURCES BOARD

EXECUTIVE ORDER D-276-13

Relating to Exemptions Under Section 27156  
of the California Vehicle Code

Borla Performance Industries  
Exhaust Header

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Air Resources Board by Section 27156 of the Vehicle Code; and

Pursuant to the authority vested in the undersigned by Section 39515 and Section 39516 of the Health and Safety Code and Executive Order G-02-003;

IT IS ORDERED AND RESOLVED: That the installation of the Exhaust Header, manufactured and marketed by Borla Performance Industries, 701 Arcturus Avenue, Oxnard, California 93033, has been found not to reduce the effectiveness of the applicable vehicle pollution control systems and therefore, is exempt from the prohibitions of Section 27156 of the Vehicle Code for 2007 and 2008 model year General Motors 4.8L heavy-duty engine applications.

Borla's Exhaust Header, part number 17279, is a shorty style header, manufactured out of 18 gage stainless steel. The oxygen sensor is at the collector in a stock location and orientation. Connecting pipes are manufactured out of the same material as the header.

This Executive Order is valid provided that the installation instructions for the Exhaust Header will not recommend tuning the vehicle to specifications different from those submitted by the device manufacturer.

Changes made to the design or operating conditions of the Exhaust Header, as exempt by the Air Resources Board, which adversely affect the performance of the vehicle's pollution control system shall invalidate this Executive Order.

This Executive Order shall not apply to any Exhaust Header advertised, offered for sale, sold with, or installed on a new motor vehicle prior to or concurrent with transfer to an ultimate purchaser.

Marketing of the Exhaust Header using any identification other than that shown in this Executive Order or marketing of the Exhaust Header for an application other than those listed in this Executive Order shall be prohibited unless prior approval is obtained from the Air Resources Board.

This Executive Order does not constitute any opinion as to the effect the use of the Exhaust Header may have on any warranty either expressed or implied by the vehicle manufacturer.

This Executive Order is granted based on submitted comparable emissions test data generated on one test vehicle. Test results showed that emission levels, with the Exhaust Header installed, did not exceed the baseline emission levels by more than 10 percent for all

test procedures. Examination of the OBD II system showed that the Exhaust Header did not affect OBD II system operation. Results from emission testing conducted at Auto Club Emissions Laboratory, located at Diamond Bar, California, are shown below, in grams per mile.

|                            |            |     |      |
|----------------------------|------------|-----|------|
| <b>2007 model year Van</b> | CVS-75 FTP |     |      |
|                            | NMHC       | CO  | NOx  |
| Baseline                   | 0.205      | 2.1 | 0.42 |
| Modified                   | 0.186      | 2.1 | 0.37 |
|                            | US06       |     |      |
|                            | NMHC+NOx   | CO  |      |
| Baseline                   | 0.26       | 1.1 |      |
| Modified                   | 0.23       | 0.6 |      |

The Air Resources Board reserves the right in the future to review this Executive Order and the exemption provided herein to assure that the exempted add-on or modified part continues to meet the standards and procedures of Title 13, California Code of Regulations, Section 2323, et seq.

THIS EXECUTIVE ORDER DOES NOT CONSTITUTE A CERTIFICATION, ACCREDITATION, APPROVAL, OR ANY OTHER TYPE OF ENDORSEMENT BY THE AIR RESOURCES BOARD OF ANY CLAIMS OF THE APPLICANT CONCERNING ANTI-POLLUTION BENEFITS OR ANY ALLEGED BENEFITS OF THE EXHAUST HEADER.

No claim of any kind, such as "Approved by the Air Resources Board", may be made with respect to the action taken herein in any advertising or other oral or written communication.

Violation of any of the above conditions shall be grounds for revocation of this order. The order may be revoked only after a ten-day written notice of intention to revoke the order, in which period the holder of the order may request in writing a hearing to contest the proposed revocation. If a hearing is requested, it shall be held within ten days of receipt of the request and the order may not be revoked until a determination is made after the hearing that grounds for revocation exist.

Executed at El Monte, California, this 31<sup>st</sup> day of October 2013.

  
 Erik White, Chief  
 Mobile Source Operations Division